

IRS Updates Voluntary Correction Program

For many years sponsors of qualified retirement plans lived in fear of plan audits because the Internal Revenue Code provides a single penalty for plans that are not in perfect compliance — disqualification. Disqualification of a qualified plan often represented a draconian punishment because it could result in years of tax deductions being reversed with accompanying interest and penalties for the employer — plus income taxes and penalties assessed on plan participants for years' worth of accruals in their retirement plans.

Fortunately, because the penalty was so severe, disqualification was rarely invoked except in truly egregious circumstances. This left many plan sponsors both complacent (because so few plans were actually disqualified) and insecure about their plans' qualification status. Some employers worked hard to avoid confronting compliance issues for fear of finding something wrong. Moreover, when they found errors they were reluctant to make adjustments for fear that the change would trigger audit activity and possible retroactive plan disqualification. In addition, because the penalties were so devastating to the employees (who had no role in plan governance), Internal Revenue Service agents were seldom willing to use the “nuclear option” of disqualification when they did find qualification problems. That resulted in an uneasy truce between the IRS and employers regarding plan qualification problems. However, it also meant that many employers (and some of their advisors) took a “don't ask, don't tell” approach to plan compliance. There was a reluctance to look too closely for fear of finding a problem that could not be fixed. Therefore, many plans that were not in compliance had long-standing problems that could have exploded under a particular set of circumstances. In reality, negotiations with IRS auditors often resulted in reduced penalties if it could be shown that the plan sponsor was diligent and took efforts to eliminate (or reduce) errors or even tried to fix mistakes when possible.

Several years ago, in an effort to encourage compliance, the IRS implemented correction procedures to provide employers with a method to fix their plans without fearing retroactive disqualification. The system was very popular, and recently the IRS has updated those rules.

IRS Institutes Voluntary Correction Procedures

The “uneasy truce” between plan sponsors and the IRS began to change several years ago. The IRS first issued rules about ten years ago providing a process whereby employers could come forward voluntarily, pay a penalty tax, and fix their plans without fear of disqualification. Over the years, that process, the Employee Plans Compliance Resolution System (EPCRS) has been updated and modified to permit plans that have failed to meet one or more qualification requirements to be corrected using one of three programs:

- Self-Correction Program (SCP),
- Voluntary Correction Program (VCP), and
- Correction on Audit Program (Audit CAP).

Generally, the programs require that the sponsor completely fix the problem, thereby incurring potentially large costs for doing so. However, by using one of the

programs, additional taxes and penalties associated with plan disqualification will be avoided, thereby making the fix much more palatable, even if the “medicine” is itself unpleasant.

Many employee benefit professionals and employers welcomed the changes. The fact that there is an opportunity to fix a plan means that employers can take a more active role in compliance, with regular plan reviews, knowing that there are ways to bring the plan into compliance without incurring huge costs to do so. In fact, the IRS has stated many times that it intends to be much more aggressive with plan sponsors who do not avail themselves of the various methods of bringing their plans into compliance.

IRS Updates Process

The IRS has recently introduced changes in Revenue Procedure 2006-27 that could make EPCRS even easier to use to correct plan errors. The changes that may have the most impact on employer sponsored retirement plans are listed below.

- If the Plan Sponsor corrects the plan failures in accordance with EPCRS, the plan will be treated as satisfying the applicable provisions for FICA and FUTA taxes (as well as the income tax). Therefore, this change will further reduce the potential tax penalties associated with plan disqualification, *IF* the plan sponsor avails itself of the correction process.
- EPCRS is expanded to terminating “orphan plans.” (Loosely defined, orphan plans refer to benefit programs that have not been properly terminated before an employer goes out of business, thus leaving the plan with no sponsor or other entity to administer it.) With respect to those plans, the new rules provide for a possible exception to the general requirement for full correction and a waiver of the VCP fee in appropriate cases.
- A correction method has been created for certain plan loan failures. The correction method addresses the situation where the plan permits plan loans operationally but does not have the appropriate plan loan language.
- The Revenue Procedure also introduced an alternative correction method for a failure to obtain spousal consent — a problem that used to represent a particularly sticky issue for many employer plans.

- Several other taxes and fees are reduced including:
 - Reducing the number of excise taxes that the Service may pursue,
 - Lowering the compliance fee for a plan where the sole failure is the failure to satisfy the minimum distribution rules for 50 or fewer employees, and
 - Reducing the compliance fee for a plan where the sole failure is the failure to timely adopt certain plan amendments.

In addition, perhaps as a warning to those employers who would rather not be bothered with self-examination of their plans, the new process provides that if a plan violation relates to a failure to properly amend the plan and that problem is discovered during an Employee Plans Examination, then it is expected that the applicable sanction will be greater than the applicable fee under EPCRS.

Conclusion

Now more than ever, employers who find problems with their qualified plans should review the findings with their advisors and seek methods under EPCRS to fix those issues.

U.S. Benefit Office Locations

Anchorage, AK (907) 562-2266	Atlanta, GA (404) 224-5000	Austin, TX (800) 861-9851	Baltimore, MD (410) 527-1200
Birmingham, AL (205) 871-3871	Boise, ID (208) 340-0645	Boston, MA (617) 437-6900	Cary, NC (919) 459-3000
Charlotte, NC (704) 376-9161	Chicago, IL (312) 621-4700	Cleveland, OH (216) 861-9100	Columbus, OH (614) 766-8900
Dallas, TX (972) 385-9800	Denver, CO (303) 218-4020	Detroit, MI (248) 735-7580	Eugene, OR (541) 687-2222
Farmington, CT (860) 284-6137	Florham Park, NJ (973) 410-1022	Ft. Worth, TX (817) 335-2115	Grand Rapids, MI (616) 954-7829
Greenville, SC (864) 232-9999	Houston, TX (713) 625-1023	Jacksonville, FL (904) 355-4600	Knoxville, TN (865) 588-8101
Las Vegas, NV (702) 562-4335	Long Island, NY (516) 941-0260	Los Angeles, CA (213) 607-6300	Louisville, KY (502) 499-1891
Memphis, TN (901) 248-3100	Miami, FL (305) 373-8460	Milwaukee, WI (414) 271-9800	Minneapolis, MN (763) 302-7100
Mobile, AL (251) 433-0441	Mountain View, CA (650) 944-7000	Naples, FL (239) 514-2542	Nashville, TN (615) 872-3700
New Orleans, LA (504) 581-6151	New York, NY (212) 344-8888	Omaha, NE (402) 778-4851	Orlando, FL (407) 805-3005
Philadelphia, PA (610) 964-8700	Phoenix, AZ (602) 787-6000	Pittsburgh, PA (412) 586-1400	Portland, OR (503) 224-4155
Roswell, NM (505) 317-3397	St. Louis, MO (314) 721-8400	San Diego, CA (858) 678-2000	San Francisco, CA (415) 981-0600
San Juan, PR (787) 725-5880	Seattle, WA (206) 386-7400	Spokane, WA (206) 386-7400	Tampa, FL (813) 281-2095
Washington, DC (301) 530-5050	Wilmington, DE (302) 477-9640		

Willis Employee Benefits Alert is produced by Willis' Legal & Research Group. The information contained in this publication is not intended to represent legal advice and has been prepared solely for educational purposes. You may wish to consult your attorney regarding issues raised in this publication. Willis publications appear on the Internet at: www.willis.com © Copyright 2006